



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/510,038

09/20/2005

Erich Fuderer

566/42764

4655

23646

7590

09/09/2008

BARNES & THORNBURG LLP

750-17TH STREET NW

SUITE 900

WASHINGTON, DC 20006-4675

EXAMINER

RASHID, MAHBUBUR

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3683

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

09/09/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/510,038		FUDERER ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
MAHBUBUR RASHID		3683		

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MAHBUBUR RASHID.

(3) ROBERT SICONOLFI.

(2) RICHARD KRINDKY.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 04 September 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 22.

Identification of prior art discussed: Blosch et al (US 6,012,556) and Gordon et al. (US 5,086,884).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The independent claim 22 was discussed and an agreement was reached that the clutch of Gordon applied to Blosch is not a slip clutch as claimed in the present application, therefore the claim overcome the applied references.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Robert A. Siconolfi/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3683